

**THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
CHARLOTTE DIVISION  
CIVIL CASE NO. 3:19-cv-00610-MR**

<b>MICHAEL A. DIXON,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>vs.</b>	)	<b><u>ORDER OF REMAND</u></b>
	)	
<b>ANDREW SAUL,</b>	)	
<b>Commissioner of Social Security,</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Defendant.</b>	)	
_____	)	

**THIS MATTER** is before the Court on the Defendant’s Consent Motion for Remand [Doc. 15].

Sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) provides, in pertinent part, that “[t]he court shall have power to enter, upon the pleadings and transcript of the record, a judgment affirming, modifying, or reversing the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security, with or without remanding the cause for a rehearing.” The Defendant here has moved for reversal of the decision below and for a remand of this case for further administrative proceedings before a different administrative law judge. For the reasons stated in the Defendant’s motion, the Court finds that remand is appropriate. See Melkonyan v. Sullivan, 501 U.S. 89 (1991).


**IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED** that the Defendant's Consent Motion for Remand [Doc. 15] is **GRANTED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, pursuant to sentence four of section 205(g) of the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security is hereby **REVERSED**, and this case is hereby **REMANDED** for further administrative proceedings, consistent with this Order.

The Clerk of Court shall enter a separate Judgment of Remand simultaneously herewith, thereby closing the case.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Signed: June 17, 2020

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Martin Reidinger  
Chief United States District Judge

